

Historical variation in verbal constructions

Two case studies from West Germanic

Sune Gregersen

ACLC Lecture, 15 November 2019

Abstract

This talk will address a number of obstacles—and new opportunities—in the study of historical syntactic variation. I will argue that, despite the often limited primary sources, much can be learnt if one takes into account a wider range of historical evidence, including early dictionaries and glossaries, works of literature, and contemporaneous metalinguistic commentaries. I will illustrate this approach with two case studies of verbal constructions in West Germanic languages: the ‘independent’ modal construction (e.g. *Dat mag niet* ‘That’s not allowed’) in Dutch and its closest relatives, and periphrastic expressions of modality, aspect, and valency change in fifteenth-century Northern English.

‘Independent’ modals in Dutch (and beyond)

- (1) De schilder zal zich iedere keer als hij een ladder neer-zet moet-en afvrag-en of
the painter shall REFL every time when he a ladder down-put must-INF ask-INF if
dat **mag**.
that may
‘Every time the painter puts down a ladder, he will have to ask himself if that is
permitted.’ (CHN, newspaper, 2004)
- (2) Hij (zou) zeker... trotsch word-en; en dat **moet** niet
he would certainly haughty become-INF and that must not
‘He would certainly get haughty; and that mustn’t happen’
(WNT, s.v. *moeten* II; Loosjes, 1808)
- (3) In hi kriige so’n huenger dot hi wuuë wol graag siin liif fol it-e mooi
and he got such hunger that he want.PST actually gladly his belly full eat-INF with
de swiine, mar dot **mocht** net.
the pig but that may.PST not
‘And he got so hungry that he would have liked to stuff himself with the pig, but that
wasn’t allowed.’ (Winkler 1874: 458; Schiermonnikoog Frisian, text dated 1871)
- (4) ’t moot. ’t kann neet.
it must it can not
‘It’s necessary. It’s not possible.’ (Krüger 1843: 42; Emden Low German)
- (5) Di eerste boek-i wat “Di Genootskap van Reg-te Afrikaanders” uitge is *Di*
the first book-DIM REL the society of true-ATTR Afrikaners publish is the
Eerste Beginsels van di Afrikaans-e Taal. En dit **kan** oek ni anders ni,
first principles of the A.-ATTR language and it can also not otherwise not

want di Genootskap is mos opgerig “om te staan ver *ons Taal*, ons
because the society is indeed founded in order to stand for our language our
Nasi en ons Land.”
nation and our country

‘The first booklet published by “The Society of True Afrikaaners” is *The basic principles of the Afrikaans language*. And it can’t be in any other way, because the Society was indeed founded “to stand for *our language*, our nation, and our country.” (Genootskap van Regte Afrikaners 1882 [1876])

Verbal constructions in Northern Middle English

Abbr.	Title	Manuscript	Date	Provenance	Edition
St.Cuth.	<i>Life of Saint Cuthbert</i>	BL Egerton 3309	c.1450?	Durh	Fowler (1891)
PLAlex.	<i>Prose Life of Alexander</i>	Lincoln Cath. 91	c.1440	NYorks	Westlake (1913)
Alph.Tales	<i>An Alphabet of Tales</i>	BL Add. 25719	c.1450	Durh/Northd	Banks (1904–05)

Northern Middle English sources

- (6) At þe last þe fire wex sa hate
Him **bode** bryn or ga his gate.
‘Finally the fire got so hot that he would either have to burn or walk away.’ (St.Cuth. 7787–8)
- (7) And Nero grawntid hym, & bad hym chese it hastelie, ffor hym **burd** nedis dye.
‘And Nero granted him [Seneca] this and told him to choose it quickly, for he necessarily had to die.’ (Alph.Tales 224)
- (8) And evyn as he was **born** to dye, he spakk vnto þaim & sayd, ‘Be still! be still & holde your tongis’.
‘And just as he was about to die, he spoke to them and said, “Be quiet! Be quiet and hold your tongues”.’ (Alph.Tales 388)
- (9) On a day þe citezenes
Led cuthbert fra his ynes
To se þe walles of þe toune;
A well to schew him þai were **bourne**
‘One day the citizens led Cuthbert from his lodgings to see the walls of the town [Carlisle]; they were just about to show him a well’ (St.Cuth. 2843–6)
- (10) When Alexander herde þis, he **garte** all þe Oste habide
‘When Alexander heard this, he made the whole army stop’ (PLAlex. 60)
- (11) And þare-fore when he was dede Alexander **gart** doo aboute hym grete exequyes and **gart** make hym a full riche toumbe & a hye and did hym þare-in and made a grete citee þare, þe whilke in mynde of his horse he **gart** call Buktyphalas
‘And therefore, when he [the horse] was dead Alexander arranged a great burial for him and had a splendid and high tomb made for him and put him in that and founded a great city there, which in commemoration of his horse he called [lit. “caused to call”] Buktyphalas’ (PLAlex. 107)

- (12) And þe Emperour **garte** call hym before hym, & wolde hafe **garte** putt hym to deade herefor
 ‘And the emperor had him [a knight] summoned before him and wanted to have him executed for it’ (Alph.Tales 63)

Appendix: Quotations

Verstegan on ‘alteration and varietie’

“But as all thinges vnder heauen do in length of time encline vnto alteration and varietie, so do the language [sic] also, yea such as are not mixed with others that vnto them are strange and extrauagant, but euen within themselues do these differences grow and encrease [...] This is a thing that easely may happen in so spacious a tongue as this, it being spoken in so many different countries and regions, when wee see that in some seuerall partes of *England* it selfe, both the names of things and pronountiations of words are somewhat different, and that among the country people that neuer borrow any words out of the Latine or French, and of this different pronountiation one example in steed of many shal suffice, as this: for pronouncing according as one would say at *London* **I would eat more cheese if I had it**, the northern man saith, **Ay sud eat mare cheese gin ay hadet**, and the Western man saith **Chud eat more cheese an chad it**. Lo heere three different pronountiations in our owne country in one thing, and hereof many the like examples might be alleaged.”

Richard Verstegan, *A restitvtion of decayed intelligence* (London 1628), 194–5.

Trevisa on the dialects of English

“Mercij, þat buþ men of myddel Engeland, as hyt were parteners of þe endes, vndurstondeþ betre þe syde longages, Norþeron & Souþeron, þan Norþeron & Souþeron vndurstondeþ eyþer oþer. Al þe longage of þe Norþhumbres, & specialych at ȝork, ys so scharp, slytting & frotyng, & vnschape, þat we Souþeron men may þat longage vnneþe vndurstonde.”

‘Mercians, that is the people of central England—as it were the partners of the ends—can better understand the neighbouring languages, Northern and Southern, than Northern and Southern people can understand each other. All the language of the Northumbrians, especially in York, is so sharp, cutting, grating, and misshapen, that we Southern men can hardly understand it.’

John Trevisa, c.1385, quoted from Morris and Skeat (1898: 242)

Chaucer’s ‘yonge poure clerkes’

“Iohn highte that oon / and Aleyn heet that oother
 Of o toun were they born / that highte Strother
 ffer in the North / I kan nat telle where [...]
 ‘Symond,’ quod Iohn / ‘by god, nede has na peer
 Hym boes serue hym selne / that has na swayn
 Or elles / he is a fool / as clerkes sayn
 Oure Manciple / I hope / he wil be deed
 Swa werkes ay / the wanges in his heed
 And forthy / is I come / and eek Alayn
 To grynde oure corn / and carie it ham agayn
 I pray yow / spede vs heythen that ye may.”

Geoffrey Chaucer, *The Reeve’s Tale*, Ellesmere MS. (c.1405), quoted from Furnivall (1868: 115–16)

Dictionaries and corpora

- CEEC *Corpus of Early English Correspondence*. 1998. Helsinki: Department of Modern Languages, University of Helsinki.
- CHN *Corpus Hedendaags Nederlands*. 2013. Leiden: INL. <http://chn.inl.nl>.
- EDD Joseph Wright (ed.). 1898–1905. *English Dialect Dictionary*. 6 vols. Online version published by the University of Innsbruck. <http://eddonline-proj.uibk.ac.at/edd/>.
- eLALME *A Linguistic Atlas of Late Mediaeval English*. 2013. Edinburgh: University of Edinburgh. <http://www.lel.ed.ac.uk/ihd/elalme/elalme.html>.
- MED *Middle English Dictionary*. 1952–. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan. <https://quod.lib.umich.edu/m/med/>.
- OED *Oxford English Dictionary*. 1884–. Oxford: Oxford University Press. <https://www.oed.com/>.
- SCOTS *Scottish Corpus Of Texts & Speech*. 2013. Glasgow: University of Glasgow. <https://www.scottishcorpus.ac.uk>.
- WNT *Woordenboek der Nederlandsche Taal*. 1864–2001. 43 vols. The Hague: Nijhoff. <http://gtb.ivdnt.org/>.

Text editions

- Banks, Mary Macleod (ed.). 1904–1905. *An Alphabet of Tales* (EETS O.S. 126 & 127). London: Kegan Paul, Trench, Trübner & Co.
- Fowler, J. T. 1891. *The life of St. Cuthbert in English verse* (Publications of the Surless Society 87). Durham: Andrews.
- Furnivall, Frederick James. 1868. *The Ellesmere MS. of Chaucer's Canterbury Tales*. London: Trübner.
- Morris, Richard & Walter W. Skeat. 1898. *Specimens of early English*. 2nd edn. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Westlake, J. S. (ed.). 1913. *The prose Life of Alexander* (EETS O.S. 143). London: Kegan Paul, Trench, Trübner & Co.

Other references

- Atlas zur deutschen Alltagssprache*. 2003–2019. <http://www.atlas-alltagssprache.de>.
- Brockhaus' Konversations-Lexikon*, 14th edn. 1892. Vol. 5. Leipzig: K.A. Brockhaus' Geographisch-artistische Anstalt.
- Corrie, Marilyn. 2017. Middle English: Dialects and diversity. In Lynda Mugglestone (ed.), *The Oxford history of English*, 2nd edn., 106–146. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Dickinson, William. 1878. *A glossary of words and phrases pertaining to the dialect of Cumberland*. 2nd edn. London: Trübner.
- Dynamische Syntactische Atlas van de Nederlandse Dialecten (DynaSAND)*. 2017. Amsterdam: Meertens Instituut. <http://www.meertens.knaw.nl/sand/>.
- Encyclopædia Britannica*. 2019. <https://www.britannica.com>.
- Fischer, Olga. 1992. Syntax. In Norman Blake (ed.), *The Cambridge history of the English language*, vol. 2, 207–408. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Genootskap van Regte Afrikaners. 1882. *Eerste beginsels van di Afrikaanse taal*. 2nd edn. Paarl: Du Toit & Co.
- Hasty, J. Daniel. 2014. We might should be thinking this way: Theory and practice in the study of syntactic variation. In Raffaella Zanuttini & Laurence R. Horn (eds.), *Micro-syntactic variation in North American English*, 269–293. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Honselaar, Wim & Hella Olbertz. 2016. The use of Dutch *moeten* without an infinitive: A case of degrammaticalization? In Anne Bannink & Wim Honselaar (eds.), *From variation to iconicity: Festschrift for Olga Fischer on the occasion of her 65th birthday*, 185–201. Amsterdam: Pegasus.
- Horobin, Simon & Jeremy Smith. 2002. *An introduction to Middle English*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- Huber, Judith. 2017. *Motion and the English verb*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Krüger, Eduard. 1843. *Uebersicht der heutigen plattdeutschen Sprache (besonders in Emden)*. Emden: Woortman.
- Labov, William. 1972. *Sociolinguistic patterns*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Lass, Roger. 1992. Phonology and morphology. In Norman Blake (ed.), *The Cambridge history of the English language*, vol. 2, 23–155. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Miura, Ayumi. 2015. *Middle English verbs of emotion and impersonal constructions*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Möhlig-Falke, Ruth. 2012. *The early English impersonal construction*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Mortelmans, Tanya, Kasper Boye & Johan van der Auwera. 2009. Modals in the Germanic languages. In Björn Hansen & Ferdinand de Haan (eds.), *Modals in the languages of Europe: A reference work*, 11–69. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Mossé, Fernand. 1952. *A handbook of Middle English*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press.
- Mustanoja, Tauno F. 1960. *A Middle English syntax. Part 1: Parts of speech*. Helsinki: Société Néophilologique.
- Nevalainen, Terttu. 2015. Descriptive adequacy of the S-curve model in diachronic studies of language change. In Christina Sanchez-Stockhammer (ed.), *Can we predict linguistic change?* (Studies in Variation, Contacts and Change in English 16). <http://www.helsinki.fi/varieng/series/volumes/16/nevalainen/>.
- Nuyts, Jan. 2011. Degrammaticalisatie in de Nederlandse modale hulpwerkwoorden. *Verslagen & Mededelingen van de Koninklijke Academie voor Nederlandse Taal- en Letterkunde* 121(2). 155–182.
- Nuyts, Jan. 2013. De-auxiliarization without de-modalization in the Dutch core modals: A case of collective degrammaticalization? *Language Sciences* 36. 124–133.
- Olbertz, Hella & Wim Honselaar. 2017. The grammaticalization of Dutch *moeten*: Modal and post-modal meanings. In Kees Hengeveld, Heiko Narrog & Hella Olbertz (eds.), *The grammaticalization of tense, aspect, modality and evidentiality*, 273–300. Berlin: De Gruyter.
- Strang, Barbara M. H. 1970. *A history of English*. London: Methuen.
- Upton, Clive & J. D. A. Widdowson. 2006. *An atlas of English dialects*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Verstegan, Richard. 1628. *A restitvtion of decayed intelligence in antiquities concerning the most noble and renowned English nation*. London: John Bill.
- Visser, F. Th. 1963–1973. *An historical syntax of the English language*. 3 parts in 4 vols. Leiden: Brill.
- Wales, Katie. 2006. *Northern English: A cultural and social history*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wallage, Phillip. 2017. *Negation in early English: Grammatical and functional change*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Warner, Anthony R. 1993. *English auxiliaries: Structure and history*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Winkler, Johan. 1874. *Algemeen Nederduitsch en Friesch dialecticon*. Vol. 1. The Hague: Nijhoff.